



Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 5387-5391

Chiral ligand-controlled diastereoselectivity and regioselectivity in palladium(0)-catalysed allylations

Stephen G. Pyne* and Zemin Dong

Department of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

Received 25 April 2000; revised 18 May 2000; accepted 23 May 2000

Abstract

Diastereoselective allylations can be achieved on an epimeric mixture of optically active allylic benzoates having a fixed stereogenic centre allylic to the π -system using chiral ligands. The regiochemistry of these reactions is controlled by the chiral ligand and is different for the different diastereomeric complexes. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: chiral ligand; catalysis; palladium; regiochemistry; diastereoselection; allylation; allylic amine; malonate.

The control of remote stereocentres by asymmetric induction is an on-going challenge in organic synthesis. We are interested in developing methods for preparing 1,4-difunctionalised acyclic molecules¹ in a diastereoselective manner using palladium(0)-catalysed allylic alkylation chemistry. We report here our efforts to affect diastereoselective and regioselective 1,4-allylations on an epimeric mixture (d.r. = 1:1) of the optically active allylic benzoates (1RS,4S)- 1 having a fixed stereogenic centre allylic to the π -system (Eq. (1)).

Excellent methods have been developed for palladium(0)/chiral ligand-catalysed asymmetric allylation reactions that proceed via 1,3-symmetrically substituted allyl palladium cationic complexes (e.g. η^3 -[RCHCHCHR]Pd(II)L*_n).² In contrast, the development of related asymmetric reactions that proceed via non-symmetrical intermediates is a challenge not only in controlling enantiofacial selectivity, with respect to the palladium–allyl complex, but also in the regioselectivity of nucleophilic addition.³ These types of reactions could be further complicated by the presence of stereogenic centres on the substrate, as for example in compound 1.⁴ Interestingly, we have

0040-4039/00/\$ - see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: \$0040-4039(00)00860-1

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +61 242 214287; e-mail: Stephen_Pyne@uow.edu.au

discovered that the two diastereoisomers of 1 give different regio-isomeric products, often with high diastereoselectivities, in the presence of a chiral ligand for the palladium.

When sodium diethyl malonate was employed as a nucleophile and 5 mol% Pd(Ph₃P)₄ as the catalyst then, not unexpectedly, a 1:1 mixture of 1,4-adducts was obtained in quantitative yield (Table 1).⁵ In contrast, when chiral ligands were employed the regioselectivity changed as did the diastereoselectivity. When the chiral ligand (*R*)-BINAP was used then 18% of the regioisomeric 1,2-adduct 2 was obtained, essentially as a single diastereomer, while the 1,4-adduct was obtained as a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture (Table 1). The use of (*S*)-BINAP gave mainly 1,4-adducts favouring the diastereomer 3. The chiral phosphinoaryl oxazoline 5 gave similar results to that found for (*R*)-BINAP;⁶ however, the 1,4-adduct was obtained in higher diastereoselectivity in favour of the diastereomer 4. The stereochemistry of the 1,2-adduct 2 was determined by single-crystal X-ray structural analysis,⁷ while that of 3 and 4 was determined by conversion to their *O*-MEM derivatives 7 and 6, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectra of 6 and 7 correlated well with those reported for the known dimethyl esters of 6 and 7, respectively.⁸

Table 1										
Palladium(0)-catalysed reactions of 1 with sodium diethyl malonate ^a										

Ligand	Palladium	Time	Combined yield	1,2 : 1,4	Products		
	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	18 h	100%	0 : 100	1,4 [d. r. = 1 : 1]		
(<i>R</i>)-BINAP	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	24 h	83%	18 : 82	TBSO Ph CH(CO ₂ Et) ₂ 2 [d. r. = 99:1]	TBSO Ph $CH(CO_2Et)_2$ [d. r. = 1 : 1]	
(S)-BINAP	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	24 h	72%	0:100	_	TBSO Ph CH(CO ₂ Et) ₂ 3 [d. r. = 38 : 62]	
Ph ₂ P 5	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	24 h	91%	30 : 70	TBSQ Me CH(CO ₂ Et) ₂ 2 [d. r. = 99 : 1] X-ray	TBSO Me TBSO Ph TH(CO ₂ Et) ₂ 4 [d. r. = 71 : 29] Chemical correlation	

a 2.5 mol% Pd₂(dba)₃ and 5 mol% chiral ligand and sodium diethyl malonate (2 equiv.) were used with THF as solvent at RT

In contrast, the palladium(0)-catalysed benzylamination of 1, in the absence of chiral ligand, gave 1,2- and 1,4-adducts, 8 and 9 respectively, with modest diastereoselectivity (Table 2).⁵

Ligand	Palladium	Time	Combined yield	1,2 : 1,4	Products	
	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	2.5 h	95%	7:93	TBSO Ph NHBn 8 [d. r. = 61 : 39]	TBSO Ph NHBn 9 [d. r. = 76 : 24]
(<i>R</i>)-BINAP	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	48h	68%	44 : 56	TBSQ Me NHBn NHBn 8 [d. r = 92 : 8]	TBSO Ph NHBn 10 [d. r = 93 :7]
(S)-BINAP	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	48h	84%	48 : 52	TBSO Me NHBn 11 [d. r = 98 : 2]	TBSQ Me NHBn 9 [d. r = 94:6]
Ph ₂ P 5	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	168h	74%	18 : 82	TBSO Ph NHBn 8 [d. r = 79 : 21]	TBSO Ph

Table 2 Palladium(0)-catalysed reactions of **1** with benzylamine^a

TBSO NHBn 1. CICO₂Et/Et₃N
$$\frac{1}{2 \cdot Bu_4NF/THF}$$
 $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac$

Unlike the reactions of 1 with malonate ion, the reactions of 1 with benzylamine required heating to 50°C and long reaction times. Furthermore, these reactions were highly diastereoselective in the presence of chiral ligands. Reactions involving (R)- and (S)-BINAP gave essentially a 1:1 mixture of 1,2- and 1,4-adducts in high diastereomeric purities. The chiral ligand 5 gave mainly the 1,4-adduct 10 with high diastereoselectivity (d.r. = 92:8). The stereochemistry of 8 was determined from NOE studies on its cyclic derivative 12 prepared according to Eq. (3). Thus, the 1,2-adducts from 1 and malonate or benzylamine with (R)-BINAP or 5 arise from attack of the nucleophile on the same diastereomeric palladium—allyl complex (i.e. 13 in Scheme 1). In light of this, and by analogy with the stereochemistry of 4, we assign the stereochemistry to the 1,4-adduct 10 as that shown in Table 2. Interestingly, the regioisomeric pairs of products 2, 4, 8, 9, 10, and 11 have arisen from different diastereomeric palladium complexes. For example, in the presence of (R)-BINAP or 5 the 1,2-adduct 8 arises from attack on the complex 13, while the 1,4-adduct 10 from the diastereomeric complex 14 (Scheme 1). In the case of ligand 5 these results suggest that 13 and 14 are interconverting, most likely via the Pd(0) exchange mechanism⁹

^a 2.5 mol% Pd₂(dba)₃ and 5 mol% chiral ligand and benzylamine (1.5 equiv.)/triethylamine (1.5 equiv.) were used with THF as solvent at 50 °C

(Scheme 1). Clearly, the rate of attack at the terminal carbons of the allyl moieties in 13 and 14 are different and this gives rise to the different diastereoselectivities for the 1,2- and 1,4-adducts. The stereochemical outcomes of the reactions employing chiral ligand 5 can be rationalised as arising from attack on the exo-syn-syn complexes 10 15 and 16 with nucleophilic attack favoured on the terminal allylic carbon atom trans to the phosphorus donor. 2b-d,10 Thus, the regiochemistry in these reactions is controlled by the chiral ligand and different diastereomeric Pd complexes (e.g. 15 and 16) give rise to different regiochemical outcomes (1,2- versus 1,4-) and different diastereoselectivities. Hence, we have demonstrated that chiral ligands not only control diastereoselectivity but also the regioselectivity of palladium(0)-catalysed allylation reactions on a chiral substrate. This affect is more pronounced with the less nucleophilic benzylamine. 11 In related studies, Pfaltz has demonstrated that the palladium(0) catalysed alkylations of (S)-PhCH=CHCH(OAc)Tol with (R)- and (S)-5 occur with opposite regiochemistries. 12 Under these relatively milder conditions (23°C compared with our 50°C) no evidence for interconversion of intermediate diastereomeric palladium complexes was found. We are not, however, aware of other studies that demonstrate the dependence on regiochemistry on the chirality of (R)- and (S)-BINAP.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Australian Research Council for financial support and A. Pfaltz for ligand 5.

References

For other examples, see: (a) Bäckvall, J.-E.; Schink, H. E.; Renko, Z. D. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 826. (b) Reetz, M. T.; Wang, F.; Harms, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1309. (c) Arai, M.; Nemoto, T.; Ohashi, Y.; Nakamura, E. Synlett 1992, 309. (d) Vettal, S.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5849. (e) Sato, T.; Kido, M.; Otera, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2254. (f) Reetz, M. T.; Strack, T. J.; Mutulis, F.; Goddard, R.

- Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 9293. (g) Cook, G. R.; Shanker, P. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 4991. (h) Pyne, S. G.; Dong, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6131.
- (a) Trost, B. M.; Vanvranken, D. L. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 395.
 (b) Williams, J. M. J. Synlett 1996,705.
 (c) Pfaltz, A. Synlett 1999, 835.
 (d) Helmchen, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 576, 203.
- 3. (a) Hilgraf, R.; Pfaltz, A. Synlett 1999, 1814. (b) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4545.
- 4. For studies on other chiral substrates, see: (a) Ref. 1(g and h). (b) Michelet, V.; Besnier, V.; Genet, J. P. Synlett 1996, 215. (c) Michelet, V.; Genet, J. P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1996, 133, 881. (d) Atlan, V.; Racouchot, S.; Rubin, M.; Bremer, C.; Ollivier, J.; de Meijere, A.; Salaun, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1131. (e) Cook, G. R.; Shanker, P. S.; Pararajasingham, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1999, 38, 110.
- 5. Significant ¹H NMR data (δ, 300 MHz, CDCl₃). Compound **2**: 6.43 (1H, d, *J* = 16.0), 6.15 (1H, dd, *J* = 16.0, 10.4), 4.21 (2H, q, *J* = 7.2), 4.04 (4H, m), 3.72 (1H, d, *J* = 11.2), 2.88 (1H, dt, *J* = 10.4, 2.0), 1.28 (3H, t, *J* = 7.2), 1.12 (3H, d, *J* = 6.0), 1.10 (3H, t, *J* = 7.2); compound **3**: 5.72 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.4, 1.2), 0.84 (9H, s); compound **4**: 5.77 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.4, 2.0), 0.86 (9H, s); compound **8**: 6.48 (1H, d, *J* = 16.2), 6.10 (1H, dd, *J* = 16.2, 8.4), 3.94 (1H, m), 3.92 (1H, d, *J* = 13.8), 3.65 (1H, d, *J* = 13.8), 3.18 (1H, dd, *J* = 9.0, 3.6), 2.89 (1H, m), 1.16 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3); compound **9**: 5.67 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, m), 4.21 (1H, m), 3.72 (2H, d, *J* = 4.2), 1.21 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3); compound **10**: 5.68 (2H, m), 4.29 (1H, m), 4.20 (1H, m), 3.73 (2H, m), 1.19 (3H, d, *J* = 6.7); compound **11**: 6.51 (1H, d, *J* = 16.5), 6.03 (1H, dd, *J* = 16.5, 8.7), 3.89 (1H, d, *J* = 13.2), 3.80 (1H, m), 3.65 (1H, d, *J* = 13.2), 3.07 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.1, 8.1), 1.16 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3).
- 6. Both (R)-BINAP and 5 give the same enantiomeric product in the Pd(0)-catalysed reactions of racemic PhCH(OAc)CH=CHPh with malonate, see: Ref. 2b and Brown, J. M.; Hulmes, D. I.; Guiry, P. J. *Tetrahedron* 1994, 50, 4493.
- 7. Details will be published in a full paper.
- 8. Braun, M.; Unger, C.; Opdenbusch, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 2389.
- 9. Granberg, K. L.; Bäckvall, J.-E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6858, and references cited therein.
- 10. (a) Steinhagen, H.; Reggelin, M.; Helmchen, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2108. (b) Sprinz, J.; Kiefer, M.; Helmchen, G.; Huttner, G.; Walter, O.; Zsolnai, L.; Reggelin, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1523.
- 11. For other allylic aminations using 5, see: Vonmatt, P.; Loiseleur, O.; Koch, G.; Pfaltz, A.; Lefeber, C.; Feucht, T.; Helmchen, G. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1994**, *5*, 573.
- 12. von Matt, P.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Minidis, A. B. E.; Pfaltz, A.; Macko, L.; Neuburger, M.; Zehnder, M.; Ruegger, H.; Pregosin, P. S. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1995, 78, 265.